



SAMUEL H DWORETSKY
AT&T CORP
P O BOX 4110
MIDDLETOWN NJ 07748-4110

COPY MAILED

APR 21 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Christian A. Gilmore et al.
Application No. 09/495,799
Filed: February 1, 2000
Attorney Docket No. 1999-0225

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition, filed June 22, 2005 under 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any further petition to revive the above-identified application must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(b) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Petition under 37 CFR 1.137." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

This application became abandoned April 29, 2004 for failure to timely reply to the final Office Action mailed January 28, 2004, which set a three month shortened statutory period for reply. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed February 23, 2005.

A grantable petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply (unless previously filed), which may be met by the filing of a continuing application in a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, but must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof in an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof;

(2) the petition fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(l);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a

question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) a terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) in a design application, a utility application filed before June 8, 1995, or a plant application filed before June 8, 1995.

The petition does not satisfy requirement (1) above.

The application became abandoned for failure to file a response within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection of January 28, 2004, within the time period for response. The only proper reply to a final Office action is an amendment placing the application in *prima facie* condition for allowance, a Notice of Appeal accompanied by the requisite fee, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) accompanied by a proper submission, or a continuing application. Since the amendment submitted with the petition has been referred to the examiner, who has indicated that the amendment does not *prima facie* place the application in condition for allowance, the response required for a renewed petition must be a Notice of Appeal and requisite fee, or the filing of a continuing application under the terms set forth in 1031 O.G. 11.

Petitioner must submit a proper reply to the final Office action mailed on January 28, 2004, with any renewed petition. **Petitioner should note that submission of any renewed petition without the required reply will be construed as intentional delay.**

A courtesy copy of an Advisory Action is included.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petitions
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-8300
 ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Patricia Faison-Ball". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with large, connected letters.

Patricia Faison-Ball
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions